

EXAMINER'S REPORT

Mining engineering manager of underground coal mines certificate of competence

August 2021

Written examinations

MB1 - Mining legislation

Summary of results and general comments

Exam date:	21 Oct 2020
Number of candidates:	15
Number who passed:	8
Highest mark:	85%
Average mark:	61%
Lowest mark:	37%

Examiner's comments

The exam was set around parts of the legislation that a Mining Engineering Manager (MEM) encounters regularly and therefore needs to know including notifiable incidents, high risk activities, dust and an Emergency Management Plan.

Question 1 (total of 20 marks)

Highest mark:	19
Average mark:	14.75
Lowest mark:	9.25

Question 2 (total of 20 marks)

Highest mark: 18
Average mark: 12.5
Lowest mark: 6

Question 3 (total of 20 marks)

Highest mark: 18
Average mark: 12
Lowest mark: 4

Question 4 (total of 20 marks)

Highest mark: 16
Average mark: 9.5
Lowest mark: 4

Question 5 (total of 20 marks)

Highest mark: 19
Average mark: 12
Lowest mark: 1

MB2 - Mine ventilation

Summary of results and general comments

Exam date: 21 Oct 2020
Number of candidates: 13
Number who passed: 12
Highest mark: 83.5%
Average mark : 69%
Lowest mark: 37.75%

Question 1 (total 100 marks)

Highest mark:	88
Average mark:	73
Lowest mark:	27

Examiner's comments

- Some candidates did not include an upcast shaft in the 1st workings pillars on the bottom of the plan.
- Candidates that included a main fan at the top of a drift had very high velocities in both drifts.
- Most candidates showed realist face quantities and the location of monitoring points.
- The main fan efficiencies varied greatly.

Question 2 (total 100 marks)

Highest mark:	84.5
Average mark:	66
Lowest mark:	42

Examiner's comments

- Some suggested common issues:
 - liable to spontaneous combustion
 - mining under a known water body
 - gassy seams below
 - potential windblast
 - long distance from both Intakes and main fan.
- Gateroad development rates must equal or better Longwall (LW) retreat rates. e.g. If the LW retreats 1 x pillar per week, then the gateroad must complete a pillar a week.
If the LW retreats a full block in a year, then the main headings must advance a full LW width per year.

- The future of the mine and increased production was in the new lease area which wasn't under the lake. This should have included a new upcast shaft and potentially either a new downcast shaft or intake drift.

MB3 - Coal mining practice

Summary of results and general comments

Exam date:	22 Oct 2020
Number of candidates:	15
Number who passed:	11
Highest mark:	74.5%
Average mark:	63%
Lowest mark:	47%

Section A - Underground coal mining

Candidates were to choose four of the six following questions.

Question 1 (total 20 marks)

Highest mark:	17
Average mark:	11.75
Lowest mark:	8
Question answered by:	15/15 candidates

Examiner's comments:

Some common suggested issues:

- entrapment
- withdrawal triggers/Trigger action response plans (TARPS)
- water against seals
- gassing out
- increase of O² in goaf

- inundations
- flooding of LW face
- water damage to strata support
- damage to monitoring
- loss of power
- surface to seam conduits
- loss of production
- short falls in pumping system.

Question 2 (total 20 marks)

Highest mark:	15
Average mark:	11.5
Lowest mark:	4
Question answered by:	10/15 candidates

Examiner's comments

This question was not answered well. While drift winders aren't common there needs to be an understanding of all winding systems.

Part c) possible recovery action:

- isolation of winder
- procedure for recovery reviewed
- experienced persons to recover
- damage to tracks
- damage to dolly car, including dump brakes
- damage to "services" in drift (pipes, cables and monitoring)
- slack rope removed prior to putting dolly car back on tracks
- training in use of "air bags" or other lifting gear and packing timber.

Question 3 (total 20 marks)

Highest mark:	17.5
Average mark:	12
Lowest mark:	5.5
Question answered by:	10/15

Examiner's comments

This question was designed to have candidates thinking about several issues at once.

Part a) possible immediate actions:

- withdrawal to surface – account for everyone
- Form Incident Management Team (IMT)
- geotech report. How deep, how wide and cause
- strata support recommendations
- organise contract company with Elevated Work Platforms (EWPs) to secure either side of fallen ground
- how much material breached the “bund area”?
- work from both sides supporting with wire rope / chain mesh / bolts / shotcrete or similar
- clean up of fallen material with excavators and trucks - remember this is on the surface.
- inspect portals for damage
- inspect conveyor and services for damage and repair
- how was underground pumping and ventilation issues managed?

Question 4 (total 20 marks)

Highest mark:	14.5
Average mark:	11.9
Lowest mark:	9.5
Question answered by:	5/15

Examiner's comments

Possible suggested potential causes:

- LW recovery too slow
- goaf hanging up
- geological structure in area
- support bolts and mesh not suitable or not installed correctly
- take off face too wide
- shield set pressures / pump station fault
- width of LW face
- depth of cover.

Possible potential actions:

- install additional cable bolts and strata monitoring
- PUR
- short stow shields to speed up face recovery
- full review of strata in take-off area and bolt-up plan
- geotech report of next take-off area.

Question 5 (total 20 marks)

Highest mark:	15.5
Average mark:	13.45
Lowest mark:	11.5
Question answered by:	12/15

Examiner's comments

Possible potential causes:

- depth of cover
- geological structures
- change in strata
- strata support and design
- incorrect installation/encapsulation
- change in Cleat or change of direction
- coal burst
- width and/or height of roadways
- length of "cut outs"
- lack of information on ATM
- change management not completed.

Possible potential actions:

- increased pillar dimensions
- temporary rib support while bolting (shields)
- type / length and storage of chemicals
- type / length of support and design / density
- training and experience
- change direction

- audits and Planned Task Observations (PTO)s
- reduce roadway width
- reduce roadway height
- reduce “cut out” distance
- remote mining
- review ATM information and sign off of ATM.

Question 6 (total 20 marks)

Highest mark: 13.5

Average mark: 12

Lowest mark: 8

Question answered by: 8/15

Examiner's comments

Possible short-term actions:

- RA – SWOT (Strength / Weaknesses / Opportunities / Threats)
- Geotech / VO experts / VO / Tech Services Manager / Production Manager / Deputy / SSHR / Operators
- ventilation models
- complete ‘change management’
- ventilation changes to suit
- flanking returns – seam is “gassy”
- roadheader to brush floor – Availability/gas monitoring and restrictions
- LHD with “cutter drum” to brush floor – Availability/gas monitoring and restrictions
- LHD to clean floor
- vent along old goaf edges/bleeder headings
- review and upgrade pumping system

- repair VCDs and leakage
- remove any obstructions – old bulk stonedust bags/belt structure/stowage
- install additional “double doors” for access
- get rid of floor material/side load onto conveyor/contamination or coal product
- supervision
- contractor management.

Possible long-term actions:

- consider a new UC shaft
- turn an intake into a return
- external vent audit / survey
- drive another return
- change pillar size
- move LW “Take – off” back from mains
- more balancing overcasts
- upgrade pumping system
- drive ‘stow boards’.

Section B - Surface coal mining

Candidates were to choose one of the following questions.

Question 7 (total 20 marks)

Highest mark: 17.5

Average mark: 15.5

Lowest mark: 13

Question answered by: 7/15

Examiner's comments

This question was well answered.

Possible potential hazards:

- truck slides/loss of controls
- damage to highwall/wet strata/stability
- flooding of pit floor and equipment
- inrush
- environmental issues – contaminated or excessive water leaving site
- potholes/pooled water/soft spots in haul roads and dumps
- dragline cabling/power.

Possible actions:

- review the design of roads/drainage/cambers
- TARP to slow down or stop work
- wet weather – truck sliding training – experience of operators
- pumping system review
- drains to be built/cleaned above highwalls
- bunding cleaned out

- empty mine dams and check monitoring
- suitable 'wet weather' material on roads
- Drones to check highwalls for cracks
- review road grades
- OCE inspections and SOS addresses
- segregation of heavy and light vehicles
- control Room monitoring of wet weather/storm warning
- move equipment to higher ground
- flashing lights indicating damaged road surfaces/reduced speed limit areas
- lightning TARP.

Question 8 (total 20 marks)

Highest mark: 16

Average mark: 13.5

Lowest mark: 10

Question answered by: 8/15

Examiner's comments

This question was well answered.

Possible causes:

- fatigue - change in roster/time of year
- equipment failure - change in brand/change in maintenance
- road standards – change in material/grades/cambers
- speeding – abiding by road rules
- weather – was dry, now wet – water on roads
- watering of roads

- time of day – sun rise/sun set
- new starters/new contractors
- position/use of lighting plants
- overloaded utes
- tow loads and braking.

Possible actions:

- review maintenance schedule
- fatigue monitoring/gardvant/drug and alcohol testing
- review rosters
- review road standards/materials and inspections
- training and logbooks
- review brand of light vehicles
- OCE PTOs and SOS communication
- review road grades/bend radius/cambers/drainage
- grading procedure
- road watering procedure – dry lines
- rain/weather TARPs
- road rules – GPS tracking/proximity detection/speed limit for light vehicles
- weighbridge for ute and trailer loads
- clearly posted signage.

Post Oral examination

Date:	30 Sep 2020
Number of candidates:	6
Number deemed competent:	3

Oral examination

Date:	18 and 19 February 2021
Number of candidates:	8
Number deemed competent:	0

General comments

There will usually be a 'ventilation' question and/or an 'emergency' question in an oral exam.

This time both were tested in the same question. Understanding the 'ventilation' went a long way to answering the question.

Remember the priorities:

- first - people (all people)
- second - mine and machinery
- third – production.

More information

Regional NSW

Resources Regulator

Mining Competence and Authorisations Unit

T: 02 4063 6461

Email: mca@planning.nsw.gov.au

Acknowledgments

Mining engineering manager of underground coal mines examination panel.

© State of New South Wales through Regional NSW 2021. You may copy, distribute, display, download and otherwise freely deal with this publication for any purpose, provided that you attribute Regional NSW as the owner. However, you must obtain permission if you wish to charge others for access to the publication (other than at cost); include the publication in advertising or a product for sale; modify the publication; or republish the publication on a website. You may freely link to the publication on a departmental website.

Disclaimer: The information contained in this publication is based on knowledge and understanding at the time of writing (August 2021) and may not be accurate, current or complete. The State of New South Wales (including Regional NSW), the author and the publisher take no responsibility, and will accept no liability, for the accuracy, currency, reliability or correctness of any information included in the document (including material provided by third parties). Readers should make their own inquiries and rely on their own advice when making decisions related to material contained in this publication.

DOC20/1009219